RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
)
)
)
) CC Docket No. 96-149
)
)
)
)
)
)

REPLY COMMENTS OF SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.

SBC Communications, Inc. ("SBC"), by its attorneys, files these reply comments in connection with the above-referenced petitions for forbearance filed by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT") and the former Pacific Telesis Group ("Pacific"). The Commission should grant both of these petitions expeditiously. Both petitions are in keeping with the Commission's important statutory directive to promote the safety of life and property, and both satisfy the requirements for forbearance. The Commission should not allow the two commentors who do not express their unqualified support - MCI and AT&T - to hold these petitions hostage until their unrelated demands are met.

¹47 U.S.C. Section 151.

²47 U.S.C. Section 160.

No. of Copies rec'd 028
List ABCDE

I. DISCUSSION

Preliminarily, SBC confirms that its petitions request forbearance from any potential application of Section 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act") to SWBT's and Pacific's provision of E911 services. In other words, the E911 services provided by these companies should be excluded from those "for which a separate affiliate is required" under Section 272(a)(2). While MCI would seek to have the Commission impose Section 272(c)(1) and (e) upon the BOCs, these provisions only apply to the dealings between a BOC and the separate affiliate that may be "required by" Section 272. These statutory obligations should not apply inasmuch as SBC's petitions, if granted, would moot their application.

MCI broadly asserts that the marketplace cannot be trusted to prevent discrimination so that it is inconceivable that a dominant carrier could ever demonstrate, in any context, the first element of the forbearance test -- that enforcement is not necessary to ensure that the carrier's practices "are not unjustly or unreasonably discriminatory." MCI is wrong. As an initial matter, MCI's distrust of the marketplace and forbearance from regulation directly conflicts with

³The grant of forbearance would necessarily excuse compliance with the Commission's rule requiring that previously authorized interLATA information services must be provided "through a section 272 affiliate" as provided by Commission Rule 53.201(a)(1). 47 C.F.R. Section 53.201(a)(1). The Commission adopted this rule because of its determinations that Section 272(a)(2)(B)(iii) (which exempts previously authorized activities from the separate affiliate requirements) is limited to origination of interLATA telecommunications services and that Section 272(a)(2)(C) (which imposes separate affiliate requirements) does not exempt previously authorized interLATA information services. Implementation of the Non-Accounting Safeguards of Sections 271 and 272 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, CC Docket No. 96-149, FCC 96-489, First Report and Order, released December 24, 1996 ("Non-Accounting Safeguards Order"), paras. 78-79.

⁴47 U.S.C. Section 272(b), (c).

⁵MCI, at 3, citing 47 U.S.C. Section 160(a)(1).

the "procompetitive, de-regulatory national framework" erected by the Act.⁶ Moreover, Congress' unqualified reference to "telecommunications carriers or telecommunications services" in Section 160(a) reflects its intention <u>not</u> to limit the Commission's forbearance authority only to the services of non-dominant carriers.⁷ MCI cites no specific incident or complaint filed with the Commission demonstrating unjust or unreasonable discrimination in the provision of E911 services and, in the absence of such, MCI's sweeping claims should be rejected.

In addition, were MCI's claim well taken, the Commission could not have suggested, as it did, that even if educational interactive services were subject to Section 272 under Section 272(a)(2)(C), "section 10 mandates" forbearance from the application of Section 272 to these services. Importantly, the Commission did not place Section 272(c)(1) or (e) obligations upon the BOCs in that context. Forbearance from the application of Section 272 to E911 services, which advance the safety of life and property in the first instance, is at least as urgent as forbearance regarding educational interactive services.

At the root of MCI's claims is its intention to use this E911 proceeding (which stems from CC Docket No. 96-149 and Section 272 of the Act) as leverage to secure certain listing

⁶Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, Second Report and Order, FCC 96-333, released August 8, 1996, para. 1 (further citation omitted).

⁷Of course, there is a dual nature to Section 160. It not only confers forbearance authority upon the Commission; it requires the Commission to exercise that authority where applicable. Non-Accounting Safeguards Order, para. 81. For the reasons stated by SWBT and Pacific in their petitions, and those stated by BellSouth' Reply regarding its Petition for Forbearance, the BOCs' integrated provision of E911 services clearly satisfy the Section 160 three-part test. BellSouth Reply, filed March 17, 1997, at 5-7.

⁸Non-Accounting Safeguards Order, para. 95.

arrangements and Public Service Answering Point ("PSAP") agency numbers for its operator services group (matters which MCI claims are owed it under CC Docket No. 96-98 and Section 251 of the Act). AT&T, too, claims that it should be provided unlisted and third-party-LEC information. These attempts should be rejected for the several reasons previously stated by BellSouth. MCI and AT&T have adequate legal remedies available to them should either conclude they have a prima facie case of a violation of the Act's provisions -- including redress before a United States District Court, this Commission, or in the context of an arbitration of any disputed provision of an interconnection agreement.

Furthermore, there is no factual nexus or relationship between SBC's BOCs' E911 systems which handle calls made as a result of dialing "911" and the handling of calls to operators when a caller dials "0-" seeking emergency assistance. Nor is there any proprietary list maintained by the E911 organizations of non-published 10-digit numbers used to dial a 911 center when someone calls the operator instead of dialing 911. Any such 10-digit numbers used in conjunction with provisioning 911/E911 services, or in the contingency planning of such services, are obtained from each 911 PSAP agency based on a comparison of the correlation of SWBT's or Pacific's wire center boundaries and the PSAP agency's jurisdictional boundaries.

It would be a mistake to assume that any of those numbers could simply be used by AT&T or MCI, due in part to significant differences in the boundaries of the geographic area AT&T or MCI might plan to serve from a switch in their networks. In light of this and other considerations, it is not SBC's place to advise MCI or AT&T as to the appropriate number to

⁹MCI, at 5.

¹⁰AT&T, at 3, n.6.

¹¹BellSouth Reply, filed March 17, 1997, at 7-8.

dial when one of their subscribers dials "0-" in an emergency. Rather, AT&T and MCI must devote the necessary planning effort to explain to the PSAP agency their unique geographic correlation before any determination is made that an emergency call would be rerouted to that PSAP via a dialable number from subscribers served by that switch. AT&T and MCI seek a dangerous shortcut to this crucial planning effort. Absent that effort, a 911 call could originate from far beyond the jurisdiction of the PSAP agency, in which case it would have to be handled without the location identification otherwise received on a 911 call.

SBC appreciates that MCI's and AT&T's comments appear to evince a degree of frustration over how to obtain a "dialable" 10-digit number to call in "0-" emergency call situations. SBC also understands that in some states, it is customary for 911 systems to route "overflow" 911 calls to a long distance operator. However, such overflow routing is not done in any of the SBC's in-region states. Rather, in the rare instance in which all of the dedicated 911 trunks are busy (e.g., an unanticipated disaster situation), the 911 network returns a busy signal. Therefore, to the extent that MCI's and AT&T's frustrations rest on any 911 overflow routing process, the point is not relevant.

If MCI or AT&T are having difficulty securing the appropriate 10-digit numbers they would need to complete an emergency call handled by their operator services vendor, it is an operator services issue that must be resolved by their working with the affected PSAP agencies. On the other hand, if they are planning to design (or have already designed) their switches to re-route a 911 call from their switch to their operator services vendor when all of the dedicated 911 trunks are busy, they are creating this problem for themselves and are not being consistent with the local specifications for 911 service they were provided during interconnection negotiations.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, SBC submits that the petitions for forbearance of SWBT and Pacific should be granted in their entirety, so that these companies' E911 personnel and operations may continue to deliver E911 services on an integrated basis and in an efficient and cost-effective manner.

Respectfully submitted,

SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.

James D. Ellis

Robert M. Lynch

David F. Brown

175 E. Houston, Room 1254

San Antonio, Texas 78205

(210) 351-3478

Marlin D. Ard

Patricia L.C. Mahoney

Keith J. Epstein

140 New Montgomery St., Room 1525

San Francisco, California 94105

(415) 545-7183

ATTORNEYS FOR SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.

Durward D. Dupre

Michael J. Zpevak

Robert J. Gryzmala

One Bell Center, Room 3520

St. Louis, Missouri 63101

(314) 235-2507

ATTORNEYS FOR SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Certificate of Service

I, Elaine Temper, hereby certify that Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's reply comments to CC Docket NO. 96-149 has been served this 6th day of May, 1997 to the Parties of Record.

Elaine Temper

May 5, 1997

ITS INC 2100 M STREET NW ROOM 140 WASHINGTON DC 20554 JANICE MYLES FCC COMMON CARRIER BUREAU 1919 M STREET NW RM 544 WASHINGTON DC 20554

DOROTHY CONWAY
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
1919 M STREET NW - RM 234
WASHINGTON DC 20554

TIMOTHY FAIN
OMB DESK OFFICER
10236 NEOB
725 - 17TH ST NW
WASHINGTON DC 20503

PETER ARTH
EDWARD W O NEILL
PATRICK S BERDGE
COUNSEL FOR PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
505 VAN NESS AVE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102

MARY MCDERMOTT LINDA KENT CHARLES D. COSSON KEITH TOWNSEND UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION 1401 H STREET NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20005

NYNEX CORPORATION SAUL FISHER DONALD C. ROWE 1111 WESTCHESTER AVENUE WHITE PLAINS NY 10604 CYNTHIA B MILLER
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL
STATE OF FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER
2540 SHUMARD OAK BLVD
TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 32399=0850

TELEFONICA LARGA DISTANCIA
DE PUERTO RICO INC
ALFRED M MAMLET
PHILIP L MALET
MARC A PAUL
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

INFORMATION INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION DANIEL C DUNCAN - VICE PRESIDENT GOVERNMENT RELATIONS INFORMATION INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION 1625 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20036

THOMAS K CROWE
MICHAEL B ADMAS JR
LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS K CROWE
EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC PC
2300 M STREET NW
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON DC 20037

J CHRISTOPHER DANCE
VICE PRESIDENT LEGAL AFFAIRS
KERRY TASSOPOULOS
DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC
8750 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY
20TH FLOOR
DALLAS TX 75231

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ERIC WITTE P O BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MO 65102 UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION MARY MC DERMOTT LINDA KENT CHARLES D COSSON KEITH TOWNSEND 1401 H STREET NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20005

BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE COMPANIES EDWARD SHAKIN LAWRENCE W KATZ 1320 NORTH COURT HOUSE ROAD EIGHTH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22201 TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC TERESA MARRERO SENIOR REGULATORY COUNSEL ONE TELEPORT DRIVE STATEN ISLAND NEW YORK 10311

TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC J MANNING LEE VICE PRESIDENT - REGULATORY AFFAIRS ONE TELEPORT DRIVE STATEN ISLAND NEW YORK 10311 VOICE-TEL RUTH S BAKER-BATTIST 5600 WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 1007 CHEVY CHASE MD 20815

RICHARD J METZGER
GENERAL COUNSEL
ASSOCIATION FOR LOCAL
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
1200 19TH STREET NW
SUITE 560
WASHINGTON DC 20036

PETER ARTH JR
EDWARD W O'NEILL
PATRICK S BERDGE
ATTORNEYS FOR THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94102

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
MATTHEW J FLANIGAN
PRESIDENT
GRANT E SEIFFERT
DIRECTOR OF GOVNMT RELATIONS
1201 PENNYSLVANIA AVENUE NW
SUITE 315
WASHINGTON DC 20044-0407

WILKIE FARR & GALLAGHER
PHILIP L. VERVEER
JOHN L MCGREW
ATTORNEYS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
THREE LAFAYETTE CENTRE
1155 21ST STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

MFS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY INC DAVID N PORTER VICE PRESIDENT - GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20007 SWIDLER & BERLIN
ANDREW D LIPMAN
MARK SIEVERS
ATTORNEYS FOR MFS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
INC
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300
WASHINGTON DC 20007

GENEVIEVE MORELLI
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL
COMPETITIVE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION
1140 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
SUITE 220
WASHINGTON DC 20036

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
DANNY E ADAMS
ANDREA D PRUITT
ATTORNEYS FOR COMPETITIVE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS
ASSOCIATION
SUITE 500
1200 19TH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

HALPRIN TEMPLE GOODMAN AND SUGRUE
ALBERT HALPRIN
JOEL BERNSTEIN
RANDALL COOK
ATTORNEYS FOR YELLOW PAGES PUBLISHERS
ASSOCIATION
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW
SUITE 650E
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION WILLIAM J CELIO 6545 MERCANTILE WAY LANSING MI 48910

GARY L PHILIPS
JOHN LENAHAN
JOHN GOCKLEY
STEVE SCHULSON
ALAN BAKER
COUNSEL FOR AMERITECH
1401 H STREET NW
SUITE 1020
WASHINGTON DC 20005

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS CHARLES D GRAY GENERAL COUNSEL JAMES BRADFORD RAMSAY ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL 1201 CONSTITUTION AVENUE SUITE 1102 POST OFFICE BOX 684 WASHINGTON DC 20044

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE MARY E BURGESS ASSISTANT COUNSEL OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA ALBANY NY 12223-1350 MICHAEL J SHORTLEY III
ATTORNEY FOR FRONTIER CORPORATION
180 SOUTH CLINTON AVENUE
ROCHESTER NY 14646

SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY
COUNSEL FOR THE INDEPENDENT DATA
COMMUNICATIONS MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION
HERBERT E. MARKS
JONATHAN JACOB NADLER
ADAM D KRINSKY
1202 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE MW
P O BOX 407
WASHINGTON DC 20044

BLOSSOM A PERETZ DIRECTOR NEW JERSEY DIVISION OF THE RATEPAYER ADVOCATE 31 CLINTON STREET 11TH FLOOR NEWARK NEW JERSEY 07101

SPRINT CORPORATION LEON M KESTENBAUM JAY C KEITHLEY KENT Y NAKAMURA NORINA T MOY 1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1110 WASHINGTON DC 20036 PACIRIC TELESIS GROUP
MARLIN D ARD
LUCILLE M MATES
JOHN W BOCY
PATRICIA L C MAHONEY
JEFFREY B THOMAS
ATTORNEYS FOR RACIFIC TELESIS
140 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET
ROOM 1529
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

MICHAEL J SHORTLEY ATTORNEY FOR FRONTIER CORPORATION 180 SOUTH CLINTON AVENUE ROCHESTER NY 14646 HOGAN & HARTSON LLP
PETER A ROHRBACH
LINDA L OLIVER
KYLE D DIXON
ATTORNEYS FOR LDDS WORLDCOM
555 THIRTEENTH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004

WORLDCOM INC
LDDS WORLDCOM
CATHERINE R SLOAN
RICHARD L FRUCHTERMAN
RICHARD S WHITT
SUITE 400
1120 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

U S WEST INC ROBERT B MCKENNA RICHARD A KARRE GREGORY L CANNON SONDRA J TOMLINSON SUITE 700 1020 19TH ST NW WASHINGTON DC 20036 HUNTER & MOW PC
CHARLES C HUNTER
CATHERINE M HANNAN
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS ASSOCIATION
1620 I STREET NW
SUITE 701
WASHINGTON DC 20006

CALIFORNIA CABLE TELEVISION ASSOCIATION LESLA LEHTONEN ALAN GARDNER JERRY YANOWITZ JEFFREY SINSHEIMER 4341 PIEDMONT AVENUE P O BOX 11080 OAKLAND CA 94611

MINTZLEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC DONNA N LAMPERT ATTORNEYS FOR CALIFORNIA CABLE TELEVISION ASSOCIATION 701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON DC MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC
HOWARD J SYMONS
CHRISTOPHER J HARVIE
ATTORNEYS FOR
NATIONAL CABLE TELEVISION ASSOCIATION INC
701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
SUITE 900
WASHINGTON DC 20004

NATIONAL CABLE TELEVISION ASSOCIATION INC DANIEL L BRENNER NEAL M GOLDBERG DAVID L NICOLL 1724 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036 BELLSOUTH CORPORATION WALTER H ALFORD JOHN F BEASLEY WILLIAM B BARFIELD JIM O LLEWELLYN 1155 PEACHTREE STREE NE SUITE 1800 ATLANTA GA 30309-2641

BELLSOUTH CORPORATION DAVID G FROLIO DAVID G RICHARDS 1133 21ST STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20036 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION
OF AMERICA
JOSEPH P MARKOSKI
JONATHAN JACOB NADLER
MARC BEREJKA
SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY
1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
P O BOX 407
WASHINGTON DC 20044

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS K CROWE PC THOMAS K CROWE MICHAEL B ADAMS JR COUNSEL FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 2300 M STREET NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20037

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER
BRIAN CONBOY
SUE D BLUMENFELD
MICHAEL G JONES
GUNNAR D HALLEY
ATTORNEYS FOR TIME WARNER CABLE
THREE LAFAYETTE CENTRE
1155 21ST STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

ASSOCIATION FOR LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES RICHARD J METZGER GENERAL COUNSEL 1200 19TH STREET NW SUITE 560 WASHINGTON DC 20036 MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION FRANK W KROGH DONALD J ELARDO 1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20006

MARK C ROSENBLUM LEONARD J CALI ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T CORP 295 NORTH MAPLE AVENUE BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920 DAVID W CARPENTER
PETER D KEISLER
SIDLEY & AUSTIN
ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T CORP
ONE FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA
CHICAGO IL 60603